

From	the RNATION	AL PRELIMINARY EXA	MINING AUTHORITY		DAT	
To:					PCT	
MÜLLER, Theodor OK PAT AG Chamerstrasse 50 CH-6300 Zug SUISSE EINGEGANGEN () 8, März 2004				WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66)		
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	04.03.2004	
Applicant's or agent's file reference				REPLY DUE	within 3 month(s) from the above date of mailing	
International application No. International filing date (c PCT/CH 03/00153 05.03.2003			International filing date (c 05.03.2003	iay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 07.03.2002	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C12N9/10, C12N9/10				and IPC	Ternin: 4.6.09	
Appil EID	cant GENÖSS 	SISCHE TECHNISCH	E HOCHSCHULE ZÜ	RICH et al.	Eintrag in Fristenliste Com	
1.	This writ	ten opinion is the first	drawn up by this Internat	ional Preliminary Ex	amining Authority.	
2.			s relating to the following			
-	I ⊠	Sasis of the opinion	•			
		Priority				
	III 🗵		f opinion with regard to r	novelty, inventive sto	p and industrial applicability	
	iv 🗆	Lack of unity of inver		•		
	V ⊠	Reasoned statement		rith regard to novelty atement	, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
	VI 🗆	Certain documents of	ited			
	VII 🗆		e international application			
	VIII 🗆	Certain observations	on the international app	lication		
3.	The app	licant is hereby invited	to reply to this opinion.			
	When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d).		on of that time limit,			
	How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amend For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66		iments, according to Rule 66.3. 6.9.			
	Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments For an informal communication with the examiner, see			ents and/or arguments.	see Rule 65.4 bis.	
	if no repi	ly is filed, the International	preliminary examination re	port will be established	I on the basis of this opinion.	
4.	The fine	I date by which the inte				
Nam	e and mail	ing address of the internati		Authorized Officer		
preliminary examining authority:				Factor King alliage (last extension of time limits)		



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4466 Formatities officer (Incl. extension of time limits). Sülberg, A. Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7548







# WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/CH 03/00153

١.	Basis	of	the	opinion
----	-------	----	-----	---------

ţ.,

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "original the referred to the referre

	Descr	iption, Pages				
	1-13		as originally filed			
	Claim	ns, Numbers	1 to the filed			
	1-13		as originally filed			
	Draw	rings, Sheets	as originally filed			
	1/2-2		table or furnished to this Authority in the			
2.			e, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the national application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
		المبيع مين	obte or furnished to this Authority in the following language. , which is			
			I was furnished for the purposes of the international search (under that but 1/4/2			
	0	the language of a trans	ation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a trans	ation of the international application (under 1100 50.5(5)) slation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under			
	Ļ	Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)				
3	s. With	mational preliminary ex	.  tide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the International application, the carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:			
	_	taland in the interr	sational application in written form.			
		filed together with the	international application in computer readable form.			
	0	4ished subsequent	ly to this Authority in written form.			
	<u> </u>					
		The statement that th	e subsequently furnished whiten sequence issuing asset is g			
		The statement that th	polication as filed has been formation in the properties of the sequence in the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence			
		listing has been turni	Sileo.			
	4. Th	e amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:			
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			
	5. 🗆	This opinion has been considered to	en established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).			
	6. A	dditional observations.	if necessary:			





## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/CH 03/00153

	<b>A</b>	restablishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
111.	Non	establishment of opening the heart of the he	
1.	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been and will not be examined in respect of:		
		the entire international application.	
	Ø	claims Nos. 9-13	
		because:	
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an International preliminary examination (specify):	
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):	
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion	
		could be formed.	
	×	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 9-13	
2.	Αv	written opinion cannot be drawn due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to	

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1-8

☐ the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims

comply with the Standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:

The written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.

Inventive step (IS)

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

BEST AVAILABLE COPY





### WRITTEN OPINION SEPARATE SHEET

International application No. PCT/CH03/00153

#### Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

No international search report was established for claims 9-13. Said claims are therefore not subject to the preliminary examination as set forth under Rule 66.1 (e) PCT.

#### Re item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- The present application presents an E. coli expression system for production of N-glycosylated proteins. The campylobacter jejuni glycosylation machinery (pgl) was transferred into E. coli for this purpose. Recombinant AcrA protein was produced and glycosylation verified by mass spectroscopy.
- Reference is made to the following documents: 2.
  - D1: SZYMANSKI C M ET AL: "EVIDENCE FOR A SYSTEM OF GENERAL PROTEIN GLYCOSYLATION IN CAMPYLOBACTER JEJUNI\* MOLECULAR MICROBIOLOGY, BLACKWELL SCIENTIFIC, OXFORD, GB, vol. 32, no. 5, 1999, pages 1022-1030, XP008012013 ISSN: 0950-382X
  - D2: WACKER M ET AL: "N-linked glycosylation in Campylobacter jejuni and its FUNCTIONAL TRANSFER INTO E.COLI" SCIENCE, AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE,, US, vol. 298, 29 November 2002 (2002-11-29), pages 1790-1793, XP002225920 ISSN: 0036-8075

#### **Priority** 2.

Since the priority document pertaining to the present application is not yet available to the IPEA, this Written Opinion has been drawn up considering the

BEST AVAILABLE COPY





### WRITTEN OPINION SEPARATE SHEET

International application No. PCT/CH03/00153

priority date (7. 03. 2002) as valid. D2 (Wacker et al.) has been published between the priority date and the filing date of the present application. Thus, said document is not considered to constitute prior art in the meaning of Rule 64(1)(b) PCT. However, if it turns out that the effective date of the claimed subject-matter is not the priority date, then D2 will become relevant to assess whether the present application satisfies the criteria set forth in Art. 33(2) and (3) PCT.

2. Novelty (Art. 33 (2) PCT)

Claims 1-8 appear to be novel over the prior art cited in the ISR.

- 3. Inventive Step(Art. 33 (3) PCT)
- 3.1 D1 discloses the pgl locus in *C. jejuni* and its Individual genes, including pglB as oligosaccharide transferase (Fig. 1B, table 1). The pgl genes were introduced into E. coll, which resulted in altered LPS cores and reactivity to O:23/O:36 serum (Fig. 2; p. 1024, left-hand column, paragraph 3). This result shows that the E. coll LPS had the C. jejuni oligosaccharide pattern upon transformation with the pgl locus.
- 3.2 Although D1 does not provide evidence for N-glycosylation, the E. coli proteins were likely to be N-glycosylated, since D1 uses the same gene cluster as the present application, i.e. the E. coli transfected with pRY407 would be suitable for N-linked glycosylation.
- 3.3 D1 does not disclose introduction of a foreign gene into E. coli and its subsequent N-glycosylation with the C. jejuni pattern. However, it appears that the skilled person would immediately regard as evident that if the LPS cores were changed this would affect not only endogenous but also exogenous genes.
  Therefore, in view of D1 and the general common knowledge, the subject-matter of claims 1-8 is considered not to involve an inventive step in the sense of Art. 33 (3) PCT.
  - 4. Clarity/Sufficiency of Disclosure (Art. 6/5 PCT)
  - 4.1 Claims 1-8 attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be

BEST AVAILABLE COPY





### WRITTEN OPINION SEPARATE SHEET

International application No. PCT/CH03/00153

achieved which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem. The technical features necessary for achieving this result should be added (cf PCT Guidelines III 4.7).

Moreover, sufficient disclosure is lacking (Art. 5 PCT) because the claims broadly extend to any metabolic apparatus capable of carrying out N-glycosylation, which is in contrast to the disclosure of only one single prokaryotic machinery (C. jejuni) that has been transferred into E. coli.

Selecting other metabolic systems for transfer into E. coli would require extensive testing with regards to the functionality of system (i.e. whether the system really produces glycosylated proteins), which amounts to an undue burden for the skilled person. It might indeed be very difficult to find any other bacterial glycosylation system suitable for the desired purpose when considering the following statement in D2: "To our knowledge, a general N-glycosylation system very similar to the one found in eukaryotes has not been described in other bacteria, and the C. jejuni genome is the only bacterial genome sequenced to date that harbors a gene that encodes a protein with strong sequence homology to a eukaryotic oligosaccharyltransferase component." (p. 1793, left-hand column, last paragraph).

- 4.2 If the difference between D1 and the present application is considered to be the establishment of N-linked glycosylation, there must be a relevant essential technical feature on which the said difference is based. It appears, however, neither the claims nor the description clearly define the said feature. Example 1, which apparently represents the only working example (although not presenting any data), refers to the OTase of *C.jejuni* but fails to specify the difference of the OTase to the known OTase of D1. There seems to be no guidance on which part of the C. jejuni genome needs to be transferred to achieve N-glycosylation. Thus, along these lines, the skilled person Is not able to carry out the invention and the application as a whole appears to lack sufficiency of disclosure in the sense of Art. 5 PCT.
- 4.3 It is noted that example 1 refers to the procedures disclosed in D2, which was published after the priority date. D2 can, however, not be consulted to establish sufficiency of disclosure at the priority date.

BEST AVAILABLE COFT